#### Form 13 RFQ Evaluation Package (Engineer/Architect) Criteria for Procurement

# A. Specialized Experience and Technical Competence (40 Points Possible\*)

1.	Experience with Project Planning and Start-Up Services	5 Points Maximum
2.	Project Design	5 Points Maximum
3.	Knowledge and Experience with State and Federal Requirements	5 Points Maximum
4.	Experience with Financial Management	5 Points Maximum
5.	Experience with Contract Management	5 Points Maximum
6.	Experience with Competitive Bidding Process	5 Points Maximum
7.	Experience with Construction Management and Observation	5 Points Maximum
8.	Experience with Project Closeout	5 Points Maximum
	TOTAL POINTS SCORED	40 TOTAL Points
	SECTION A	Maximum

#### **B.** Performance

### (15 Points Possible\*)

1.	Ability to Meet Schedules and Deadlines	5 Points Maximum
2.	Control of Costs	5 Points Maximum
3.	Quality of Work	5 Points Maximum
	TOTAL POINTS SCORED	15 TOTAL Points
	SECTION B	Maximum

#### **Capacity and Capability of Firm to Perform Work** (15 Points Possible\*) **C**. Staff to be Assigned 5 Points Maximum 1. Staff Experience 2. **5** Points Maximum Staff Time Available 3. **5** Points Maximum TOTAL POINTS SCORED **15 TOTAL Points SECTION C** Maximum

### D. Proximity to and Familiarity with Project Area (10 Points Possible\*)

1.	Convenient proximity to project to facilitate sufficient contact.	5 Points
		Maximum
2.	Familiarity with confines of project area.	5 Points
		Maximum
	TOTAL POINTS SCORED	10 TOTAL Points
	SECTION D	Maximum

TOTAL POINTS SCORED	SECTIONS A THROUGH D	80 POINTS
SCORED		

\* Numeric amounts are suggested guidance.

#### RFQ Evaluation Package (Engineer/Architect) Rating Guidelines

For a Project Administrator, Architect, Engineer, Surveyor or Lawyer, the following RFQ Rating Guidelines are to be used in evaluating all RFQs received:

#### Score

- 5 Documentation **clearly indicates** that the offeror possesses the knowledge and experience necessary to perform the job.
- 4 Documentation clearly indicates that the offeror **does possess** the knowledge and experience necessary to perform the job, but there is also evidence of factors that limit the offeror.
- 3 Documentation is **unclear**; it **neither does nor does not** indicate that the offeror has the knowledge and experience necessary to perform the job.
- 2 Documentation is **considerable** that the offeror **does not** possess the knowledge and skills necessary to perform the job.
- 1 Documentation is **clear and convincing** that the offeror **does not** possess the necessary knowledge and experience to perform the job.

### Specialized Experience and Technical Competence

- The selection committee must evaluate each offeror's response to each area of the criterion "specialized experience and technical competence."
- Next, the selection committee must rate each area of "specialized experience and technical competence" on a scale from 1 to 5 using the rating guideline scale above.
- The rating achieved for each area of "specialized experience and technical competence" must then be placed on each offeror's rating worksheet.
- The selection committee must now document on each scoring sheet why each particular score was given, i.e., explain why one offeror was judged to possess the knowledge and experience necessary to perform the job or explain what evidence exists to justify a judgment that there are factors limiting the offeror's ability to provide the services desired, etc.
- Lastly, the individual areas of "specialized experience and technical competence" must be summed to produce a subtotal.

#### Performance

- The three areas of "performance" will be evaluated in terms of the results of reference checks.
- The selection committee should contact references and check work experience claimed by each offeror in response to the "performance" criterion.
- Next, the selection committee must evaluate the results of these contacts and rate each offeror using the rating scale on the preceding page.
- The rating achieved for each area of "performance" must then be placed on the rating worksheet of each offeror.

- The selection committee must now document on each scoring sheet why each particular score was given, i.e., explain why one offeror was judged to possess the knowledge and experience necessary to perform the job or explain what evidence exists to justify a judgment that there are factors limiting the offeror's ability to provide the services desired, etc.
- Finally, the individual areas of "performance" scores must be summed to obtain the "performance" subtotal.

#### Capacity and Capability of Firm to Perform Work

- The selection committee must evaluate each offeror's response to each area of the criterion "capacity and capability of firm to perform work."
- The selection committee must rate each area of "capacity and capability of firm to perform work" on a scale from 1 to 5 using the rating guidelines scale on the preceding page.
- Next, the rating achieved for each area of "capacity and capability of firm to perform work" must be placed on each offeror's rating worksheet.
- The selection committee must now document on each scoring sheet why each particular score was given, i.e., explain why one offeror was judged to possess the knowledge and experience necessary to perform the job or explain what evidence exists to justify a judgment that there are factors limiting the offeror's ability to provide the services desired, etc.
- Lastly, the individual areas of "capacity and capability of firm to perform work" must be summed to obtain the "capacity and capability to perform work" subtotal.

# Proximity to and Familiarity with Project Area

- The selection committee must evaluate each offeror's response to each area of the criterion "proximity to and familiarity with the project area."
- The selection committee must rate each area of "proximity to and familiarity with the project area" on a scale from 1 to 5 using the rating guidelines scale on the preceding page.
- Next, the rating achieved for each area of "proximity to and familiarity with the project area" must be placed on each offeror's rating worksheet.
- The selection committee must now document on each scoring sheet why each particular score was given, i.e., explain why one offeror was judged to possess the knowledge and experience necessary to perform the job or explain what evidence exists to justify a judgment that there are factors that limit the offeror's ability to provide the services desired, etc.
- Lastly, the individual areas of "proximity to and familiarity with the project area" must be summed to obtain the "proximity to and familiarity with the project area" subtotal.

### **Total Score**

• Sum all category subtotal scores above.

# RFQ Evaluation Package (Engineer/Architect) Rating Sheet

 Name of Offeror:
 Points Awarded:

 Possible Points:
 80

4.	Specialized Experience and Technical Competence	(40	Points Possible)
		Points Possible	Points Awarded
Ι.	Experience with Project Planning and Start-Up Services Justify the score given:	5	
2.	Project Design Justify the score given:	5	
3.	Knowledge and Experience with State and Federal Requirements Justify the score given:	5	
1.	Experience with Financial Management Justify the score given:	5	
5.	Experience with Contract Management Justify the score given:	5	

Points

Points

		Possible	Awarded
6.	Experience with Competitive Bidding Process Justify the score given:	5	
7.	Experience with Construction Management and Observation Justify the score given:	5	
8	Experience with Project Closeout Justify the score given:	5	

# SPECIALIZED EXPERIENCE AND TECHNICAL COMPETENCE SUBTOTAL

B.	Performance	(15	Points Possible)
		Points Possible	Points Awarded
1.	Ability to Meet Schedules and Deadlines Justify the score given:	5	
2.	Control of Costs <i>Justify the score given:</i>	5	

Points	Points
Possible	Awarded

3.	Quality of Work	5
	Justify the	score given:
	PERFORMANCE SUBTOTAL	

#### C. Capacity and Capability of Firm to Perform Work (15 Points Possible) Points Points Possible Awarded Staff to be Assigned 1. 5 Justify the score given: Staff Experience 2. 5 Justify the score given: 5 3. Staff Time Available Justify the score given:

# CAPACITY AND CAPABILITY OF FIRM TO PERFORM WORK SUBTOTAL

D.	Proximity to and Familiarity with Project Area	(10	Points Possible)
		Points	Points
		Possible	Awarded
	Form 13 - Page 6		
	2015		

1	Convenient Proximity to Project to Facilitate Sufficient Contact Justify the score given:	5	
2.	Familiarity with Confines of Project Area. Justify the score given:	5	

# PROXIMITY TO AND FAMILIARITY WITH PROJECT AREA SUBTOTAL

# TOTAL SCORE points)

(80 maximum

	Criteria	Points Possible	Points Achieved	
1.	Experience/Competence Subtotal	40		
2.	Performance Subtotal	15		
3.	Capacity/Capability Subtotal	15		
4.	Proximity/Familiarity Subtotal	10		
тот	AL SCORE	80		

# RFQ Evaluation Package (Engineer/Architect) Procurement Summary Sheet

Offerors	Experience and competence	Performanc e	Capacity and capability to perform	Area proximity and familiarity	Total score	Non- responsive bid
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)

#### Form 13 - I RFQ Evaluation Package (Engineer/Architect) Instructions for Completing the Procurement Summary Sheet

The Grantee shall list each offeror submitting a response to the Grantee's Request for Qualifications (RFQ) in column 1. The offeror achieving the highest total score during the evaluation should be listed first, the second highest score should be listed second, and so on.

The Grantee should list the four (4) general evaluation category scores of specialized experience and technical competence; performance; capacity and capability of firm to perform work; and proximity to and familiarity with project area achieved by each offeror during the RFQ evaluation in columns 2, 3, 4 and 5 respectively. The total score for each offeror should be listed in column 6.

Column 7 is used to indicate incomplete offers and/or non-responsive submittals. If an offeror's response is judged non-responsive, a check should be placed in this column and columns 2 through 6 should be left blank.